

The History of the King James Bible – Part 15 – Contradictory Modern Versions

If all scripture is given by inspiration of God then no doctrine is “secondary” and all of scripture is to be trusted for the victorious Christian life.

1 Samuel 13:1-2

In the modern versions gives different or no numbers related to the time/duration of Saul’s reign. – Not all can be right, and this is why we do not go the Hebrew but trust God has preserved His one truth.

If you were the Devil you would delight in these contradictions as it takes away your trust in God’s word.

They cannot all be right and only one can be so. The KJV says “Saul reigned one year; and when he had reigned two years over Israel,…” is correct and to be trusted

Numbers 21:14

KJV says “Wherefore it is said in the book of the wars of the LORD, what he did in the Red Sea, and in the brooks of Arnon.”

ESV says “Waheb in Suphah” and “valleys of the Arnon.”

NIV says “Zahab in Suphah” and “...the ravines, the Arnon.”

NKJV says “Wahab in Suphah” and “The brooks of Arnon.”

Living Bible says, “the valley of the Arnon river” and “the city of Waheb.”

This battle took place in a low spot down near the Arnon River and the Hebrew word they are looking at could mean gorges, ravines, low places and since they didn’t know the name of low place or ravine or gorge along the Arnon Valley they came up with “Waheb in Suphah”. – This is not on any map, any historical record, or any book anywhere.

The thing is if someone is writing in the record of “the book of the wars of the LORD” they are writing where the battles took place and are we supposed to believe they made up the name of the 2 places they didn’t know existed? – Surely the chronicler knew the name of these places! Yes he did and it was the “Red Sea” and “brooks of Arnon”.

Acts 13:21

KJV says “And afterwards they desired a king: and God gave unto them Saul the man of Cis, a man of the tribe of Benjamin, by the space of forty years.”

All modern versions agree in Acts 13 at the 40 years but yet give the wrong amount of years in 1 Samuel 13:1-2. – So, some are giving 42 years in 1 Samuel 13 and quoting in Acts 13 as 40 years – CONTRADICTIONS!

Acts 26:14

The bible says “...I heard a voice speaking unto me, and saying in the Hebrew tongue, Saul, Saul, why persecutest thou me? It is hard for thee to kick against the pricks.”

ESV says “in Hebrew” – Living bible says “in Hebrew” – Amplified says “in the Hebrew tongue” – NWT says “in the Hebrew tongue” – NKJV says “in the Hebrew language” – NIV says “Aramaic”

The NIV had no manuscript authority to say it was Aramaic whatsoever. – This was with the hope that this would shake the faith of those holding to the bible from the textus receptus line.

Luke 14:5

The bible says “...which of you shall have an ass or an ox fallen into a pit, and will not straightway pull him out on the sabbath day?”

ESV says "son or an ox" – Living bible says "your cow" – Amplified says "son or a donkey or an ox" – NWT says "son or bull" – NKJV says "donkey or an ox" – NIV says "son or ox"

How do they not know the difference between a donkey and a son?

Luke 16:23

The bible says, "And in hell he lift up his eyes, being in torments, and seeth Abraham afar off, and Lazarus in his bosom."

Jesus says when he wakes up he (the rich man) is in conscience, burning torment in a place called hell.

NWT says "Hades" – Merely a gathering place for departed spirits in Greek mythology. – ESV says "Hades" – Living bible says "hell" with a footnote saying "Hades" – NKJV says "Hades" – NIV says "hell" with "Hades" footnote.

Isn't it interesting that people will tell you JW's are wrong as the NWT comes against hell but at the same time recommend to you a book that takes hell out of Luke 16!

2 Timothy 3:3

The bible says, "Without natural affection, trucebreakers, false accusers, incontinent, fierce, despisers of those that are good."

ESV says "unkind" – NKJV says "unloving" – NIV says, "without love".

The sodomites and their lack of natural affection hate 2 Timothy 3:3 but yet the modern versions take away the warning of how perverted men are/will become! – These "bibles" do not warn us against the peris of wicked sodomites in our last days.

It matters what bible you use! – If you do not have the holy bible you cannot stop sodomites holding and joining your church!

The NWT is closer than all these perverted "conservative" translations as it says "...having no natural affection" and that comes against Christ.

The NIV translating committee had an open sodomite called Virginia Mollenkott working within it. – Since working on the committee, she has written 2 books promoting the idea that one can be a "lesbian Christian"! – They are opposed to one another.

Surely a book influenced by such a reprobate saying you are not loving towards those without natural affection is not the one to be trusted.

Galatians 5:12

The bible says, "I would they were even cut off which trouble you." – Daniel 9 says that the Messiah would be cut off and so it means to be killed.

ESV says "...would emasculate themselves!" – Living bibles says "...cut themselves off from you and leave you alone!" – Amplified bible says "...would even (go all the way and) castrate themselves!" – NWT says "...would get themselves emasculated!"

Those men who altered the text in Alexandria, Egypt gave us the foundation for all these modern mistranslations. – Origen – What did he do when he couldn't handle the lust of the flesh? He castrated himself! No wonder we get these horrendous, perverted renderings.

Galatians is about people creeping into the church and turning Christians away from the doctrine of Christ and having looked at these modern translations are we supposed to honestly think the remedy is the perverters castrating themselves? – No, they are to be removed or God should kill them to remove the influence of their error and wicked deception.

Proverbs 30:5 says, "Every word of God is pure: he is a shield unto them that put their trust in him." – All these new versions contradict one another and themselves within themselves.

You always hear mention of going to the Greek to improve upon the KJ V. – Well then if that were the case they would all agree but we find that they are all filled with readings and renderings that are not translations of any Greek word but are interpretations based on the beliefs and persuasions of the people that put out these bibles. – They are not the word of God. They are not pure.