

The History of the King James Bible – Part 13 – Inspired Translations – Roman Renderings in Modern Versions

From England the pure words of God spread from 1500-1900AD the world over.

The other line of corruption went from Alexandria in 50AD (Origen and the philosophers) who corrupted God's word which then went to Rome and Western Europe where this corrupt form of Christianity held sway from 400-approximately 900AD. – This corrupt line of bibles does not run back into the true line until the 1800s in England.

The first attempt to corrupt God's word in England was a group of men headed up by a man called Newman. – These men decided that the best way to undo the result of the protestant reformation would be to pretend to be protestants and infiltrate the schools and universities and taught the faculty and the students to abandon the bible text of the reformation and re-adopt the Roman text.

Newman and his group in the 1830s-40s, through pamphlets, tracts and literature sought to influence the churches in England by destroying the faith in the university students (both sacred and secular) by destroying their faith in the word of God. – They led an underground movement to bring Protestants back under the fold of Catholicism.

Many college campuses in America have a "Newman Centre" and the purpose of these centres is to find young protestants and convert them to Roman Catholicism.

Then Westcott and Hort followed in the 1870s-1880s.

In the secular education system, the history you will learn will be anti-bible, the biology courses will lift up evolution, when you look at history the Christians will be the ones who caused all the problems.

1st view – The bible is a collection of ancient writings for religious people.

2nd view – The bible was inspired of God in the originals but no longer exists.

There is the prevailing position of seminary schools which undermine the everlasting presence of God's word. – All denominational colleges/universities teach this.

3rd view – The bible is the word of God in the textus Receptus and "we hold to the TR." – That line of manuscripts that Erasmus etc.... used and that which came through Antioch cannot be seen but is just the common reference to that which remains of early/perfect translations of the pure word of God. – So, a man who uses the KJV but only believes that the pure word of God is found in the textus receptus will cause doubt as the inerrancy of the authorised version and so falls in with the first 2 groups. – This is the crowd that causes doubt of certain words in the KJV and think improvements can be made. – These were the men that brought us the NKJV/Schofield reference bible etc....- They only use the KJV but will jump at the opportunity to change what they can.

4th view – Those that believe the word of God that was originally given was transmitted through the textus receptus family of manuscripts that exist today without error in a book that you can have in your hands and read!

This is the position of a very few bible institutes ran by little local churches and known as the fringe position by those that do not mind us but thought of as having "the fanatical" position by the TR people and God only knows of the people in the 1st view!

The TR people will claim that we believe the KJV translators were inspired like Peter, John and Paul were inspired when we do not. – We hold the position that the bible that was inspired was then preserved.

To accuse us of saying that the KJV was inspired like the originals is to dodge the question and keep from having to give your position.

The truth is that the words which holy men of God penned in the bible were inspired and not the men.

Acts 22:1-2 **"Men, brethren, and fathers, hear ye my defence which I make now unto you. (And when they heard that he spake in the Hebrew tongue to them, they kept the more silence: and he saith,).....up to V21 Paul spoke in Hebrew but yet we have Luke writing it down in Greek. – If all scripture was given by inspiration of God (2 Timothy 3:16) we see Luke scripting in Greek though it was spoken in Hebrew.**

Therefore, if you believe the original writings were inspired you must also believe that God can inspire a translation! – Large portions of the originals were translations!

To deny this (as the TR men do) you deny the originals you claim to hold to.

Let the bible be the authority and so more proof is provided in Acts 9 where Paul heard the voice of Jesus (V4). – In Acts 26 Paul gives his testimony to king Agrippa and recalls this voice was in the Hebrew tongue in V14 **"And when we were all fallen to the earth, I heard a voice speaking unto me, and saying in the Hebrew tongue, Saul, Saul, why persecutest thou me. It is hard for thee to kick against the pricks."**

Here again we see the Hebrew speech of Christ written by Luke in Greek in the originals. All scripture is inspired, as is this translation .

God can inspire translation and that is what we believe He did in bringing us the authorised version. – He did the same in Exodus when asking Moses to copy the original "10 commandments".

Bible Comparisons

John 1:18 says **"No man hath seen God at any time; the only begotten Son, which is in the bosom of the Father, he hath declared him."**

The NASB says "...the only begotten God...." – The Word had no beginning, and this false version falls in line with the New World Translation (JWs).

Acts 8:37 says **"And Philip said, if thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God."**

The modern versions take out V37 with no profession of faith in Jesus Christ! No faith in who Christ is required in these modern versions for baptism!

The Roman church teach water baptism is needed to be saved and so this is the influence of modern versions to leave out that baptism came after faith in Christ.

Acts 20:28 says **"Take heed therefore unto yourselves, and to all the flock, over the which the Holy Ghost hath made you overseers, to feed the church of God, which he hath purchased with his own blood."**

The RSV says "...which he obtained with the blood of his own son." – Whilst it is true that God's Son shed His blood for the purchase of the church, it makes clear in the scriptures that it was God's blood that was required!

You could not have a stronger argument for Christ being God, but these Roman bibles do away with that great statement (NASV does the same).

Romans 9:5 says, **"Whose are the fathers, and of whom concerning the flesh Christ came, who is over all, God blessed for ever, Amen."** – Here we see Christ is over all. – Notice the commas to allow a continuance of the thought to give Christ pre-eminence.

The RSV perverts this verse by saying “to them belong the patriarchs, and of their race, according to the flesh, is the Christ_ God who is over all blessed for ever.” – The full stop here makes Jesus Christ no longer over all, but they have lowered the status of Christ.

Isaiah 7:14 says **“Therefore the Lord himself shall give you a sign; Behold, a virgin shall conceive, and bear a son, and shall call his name Immanuel.”** – This is required to show the prophesy of God is stating that Christ was not born of the seed of men but of God Himself.

The RSV says “,,Behold, a young woman shall conceive and bear a son.”

Many signs of such are seen in any age and so this is no sign at all and would not differentiate Christ's birth.

Matthew 1:25 **“And knew her not until she had brought forth her firstborn son: and he called his name JESUS.”** – If you have Roman church influence, you have support for the perpetual virginity of Mary.

The RSV says, “but knew her not until she had born a son...” (NIV the same) missing out the “firstborn” so Christ has no flesh brethren to seek to preserve this false doctrine of Rome.

Romans 16:1 says, **“I commend unto you Phebe our sister, which is a servant of the church which is at Cenchrea.”** – So, Phebe is a sister and a servant. – RSV says, “I commend to you our sister Phoebe, a deaconess of the church at Cenchreae.” – So, the Roman bibles supports the ideas sisters/nuns in church but you could not do it with a KJV. – Thus, the true bible does away with women in church authority but the Roman bible support such heresy!

James 5:16 shows another Roman perversion as the KJV says **“Confess you faults one to another, and pray for one another, that ye may be healed. The effectual fervant prayer of a righteous man availeth much.”**

A fault is not a sin but a defect/natural defect. – Some are born into this world give to fear, depression, wild imagining and all have these faults, but they are not sins. – These we can confess one to another for help. – They do something different in the Roman church and the NIV says, “Therefore confess your sins to each other and pray for each other so that you may be healed.” – Never confess your sins to others! Men have been giving power to the RCC and the “priests” they have confessed sins to for centuries.

Nobody but Jesus can handle knowledge of the sins of man as we have enough on our plate dealing with own sins. – The line of the Roman and authorised bibles is important as we see that the modern versions are not translations of God's word but a re-introduction of Roman heresies.

1 Peter 2:2 says, **“As newborn babes, desire the sincere milk of the word, that ye may grow thereby.”** – God's word needed for the newly regenerated man to grow in Christ.

The RSV says, “Like newborn babies, crave pure spiritual milk, so that by it you may grow up to salvation.” – We are not growing up into salvation but have been saved unto eternal life in Christ Jesus by grace! – This teaches works-based salvation.

We must be careful as NIV, ESV, etc... bibles change and so you can be caught when quoting a 2000s NIV when in 1970's NIV is different. – This is the subtlety of the Devil.

John 6:47 **“Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that believeth on me hath everlasting life.”** – The NIV says, “Very truly I tell you, the one who believes has eternal life.” – Who and what are they believing? The KJV is clear in that it is the person of the Lord Jesus Christ.

